arXiv:1109.0099v1 [math.FA] 1 Sep 2011

Weighted norm inequalities for Schrödinger type operators

Lin Tang

Abstract Let $L=-\Delta+V$ be a Schrödinger operator, where Δ is the Laplacian operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$, while nonnegative potential V belongs to the reverse Hölder class. In this paper, we establish the weighted norm inequalities for some Schrödinger type operators, which include Riesz transforms and fractional integrals and their commutators. These results generalize substantially some well-known results.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Schödinger differential operator

$$L=-\Delta+V(x) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n,\ n\geq 3,$$

where $V(x)$ is a nonnegative potential satisfying certain reverse Hölder class.

We say a nonnegative locally L^q integral function $V(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to belong to $B_q(1<q\leq\infty)$ if there exists $C>0$ such that the reverse Hölder inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B(x,r)|}\int_{B(x,r)}V^q(y)dy\right)^{1/q}\leq C\left(\frac{1}{|B(x,r)|}\int_{B(x,r)}V(y)dy\right) \tag{1.1}$$

holds for every $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $0<r<\infty$, where $B(x,r)$ denotes the ball centered at x with radius r. In particular, if V is a nonnegative polynomial, then $V\in B_\infty$. It is worth pointing out that the B_q class is that, if $V\in B_q$ for some $q>1$, then there exists $\epsilon>0$, which depends only n and the constant C in (1.1), such that $V\in B_{q+\epsilon}$. Throughout this paper, we always assume that $0\not\equiv V\in B_{n/2}$.

The study of Schrödinger operator $L=-\triangle+V$ recently attracted much attention; see [1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 18, 21]. Shen [18] considered L^p estimates for Schrödinger type operators L with certain potentials which include Schrödinger Riesz transforms $R_j^L=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}L^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $j=1,\cdots,n$. Very recently, Bongioanni, etc, [1] proved $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)(1<p<\infty)$ boundedness for commutators of Riesz transforms associated with Schrödinger operator with $BMO_\theta(\rho)$ functions which include the class BMO function, and Bongioanni, etc, [2]

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B25, 42B20.

The research was supported by the NNSF (10971002) of China.

established the weighted boundedness for Riesz transforms and fractional integrals associated with Schrödinger operator with weight $A_p^{\rho,\theta}$ class which includes the Muckenhoupt weight class. Naturally, it will be a very interesting problem to ask whether we can establish the weighted boundedness for commutators of some Schrödinger type operators with $BMO_\theta(\rho)$ functions and weight $A_p^{\rho,\theta}$ class.

In this paper, we give a confirm answer. In order to answer question above, it seems that we can not adapt the methods from [1, 2], so we need to use some new thoughts to overcome this obstacle in this paper. In fact, we establish a new Fefferman-Stein inequality and weighted inequalities for new maximal operators. It is worth pointing out that our methods are more general than these in [1, 2], since we can consider more general Schrödinger type operators by using our methods.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some notation and basic results, these basic results play a crucial role in this paper. In Section 3, we establish weighted norm inequalities for some Schrödinger type operators. In section 4, we established the weighted boundedness for commutators of Riesz transforms and fractional integrals associated with Schrödinger operators.

Throughout this paper, we let C denote constants that are independent of the main parameters involved but whose value may differ from line to line. By $A \sim B$, we mean that there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that $1/C \le A/B \le C$.

2. Some notation and basic results

We first recall some notation. Given $B = B(x, r)$ and $\lambda > 0$, we will write λB for the λ-dilate ball, which is the ball with the same center x and with radius λr. Similarly, $Q(x, r)$ denotes the cube centered at x with the sidelength r (here and below only cubes with sides parallel to the coordinate axes are considered), and $\lambda Q(x, r) = Q(x, \lambda r)$. Given a Lebesgue measurable set E and a weight ω, $|E|$ will denote the Lebesgue measure of E and $\omega(E) = \int_E \omega dx$. $\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}$ will denote $(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)|^p \omega(y) dy)^{1/p}$ for $0 < p < \infty$.

The function $m_V(x)$ is defined by

$$\rho(x) = \frac{1}{m_V(x)} = \sup_{r>0} \left\{ r : \ \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \int_{B(x,r)} V(y) dy \le 1 \right\}.$$

Obviously, $0 < m_V(x) < \infty$ if $V \ne 0$. In particular, $m_V(x) = 1$ with $V = 1$ and $m_V(x) \sim (1 + |x|)$ with $V = |x|^2$.

Lemma 2.1([18]). *There exists $l_0 > 0$ and $C_0 > 1$such that*

$$\frac{1}{C_0} (1 + |x - y| m_V(x))^{-l_0} \le \frac{m_V(x)}{m_V(y)} \le C_0 (1 + |x - y| m_V(x))^{l_0/(l_0+1)}.$$

In particular, $m_V(x) \sim m_V(y)$ if $|x - y| < C/m_V(x)$.

In this paper, we write $\Psi_\theta(B) = (1 + r/\rho(x_0))^\theta$, where $\theta > 0$, x_0 and r denotes the center and radius of B respectively.

A weight will always mean a positive function which is locally integrable. As in [2], we say that a weight ω belongs to the class $A_p^{\rho,\theta}$ for $1 < p < \infty$, if there is a constant C such that for all ball $B = B(x,r)$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Psi_\theta(B)|B|}\int_B \omega(y)\,dy\right)\left(\frac{1}{\Psi_\theta(B)|B|}\int_B \omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y)\,dy\right)^{p-1} \leq C.$$

We also say that a nonnegative function ω satisfies the $A_1^{\rho,\theta}$ condition if there exists a constant C for all balls B

$$M_V^\theta(\omega)(x) \leq C\omega(x),\ \ a.e.\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

where

$$M_V^\theta f(x) = \sup_{x\in B}\frac{1}{\Psi_\theta(B)|B|}\int_B |f(y)|\,dy.$$

Since $\Psi_\theta(B) \geq 1$, obviously, $A_p \subset A_p^{\rho,\theta}$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, where A_p denote the classical Muckenhoupt weights; see [10] and [13]. We will see that $A_p \subset\subset A_p^{\rho,\theta}$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ in some cases. In fact, let $\theta > 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq \theta$, it is easy to check that $\omega(x) = (1+|x|)^{-(n+\gamma)} \notin A_\infty = \bigcup_{p\geq 1} A_p$ and $\omega(x)dx$ is not a doubling measure, but $\omega(x) = (1+|x|)^{-(n+\gamma)} \in A_1^{\rho,\theta}$ provided that $V = 1$ and $\Psi_\theta(B(x_0,r)) = (1+r)^\theta$.

We remark that balls can be replaced by cubes in definitions of $A_p^{\rho,\theta}$ for $p \geq 1$ and $M_{V,\theta}$, since $\Psi_\theta(B) \leq \Psi_\theta(2B) \leq 2^\theta\Psi_\theta(B)$. When $V = 0$ and $\theta = 0$, we denote $M_{0,0}f(x)$ by $Mf(x)$(the standard Hardy-Littlewood maximal function). It is easy to see that $|f(x)| \leq M_V^\theta f(x) \leq Mf(x)$ for $a.e.$ $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\theta \geq 0$. For convenience, in the rest of this paper, fixed $\theta \geq 0$, we always assume that $\Psi(B)$ denotes $\Psi_\theta(B)$ and A_p^ρ denotes $A_p^{\rho,\theta}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, then*

(i) If $1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \infty$, then $A_{p_1}^\rho \subset A_{p_2}^\rho$.

(ii) $\omega \in A_p^\rho$ if and only if $\omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in A_{p'}^\rho$, where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

(iii) If $\omega \in A_p^\rho$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$\frac{1}{\Psi(Q)|Q|}\int_Q |f(y)|dy \leq C\left(\frac{1}{\omega(5Q)}\int_Q |f|^p\omega(y)dy\right)^{1/p},$$

where $\omega(E) = \int_E \omega(x)dx$. In particular, let $f = \chi_E$ for any measurable set $E \subset Q$,

$$\frac{|E|}{\Psi(Q)|Q|} \leq C\left(\frac{\omega(E)}{\omega(5Q)}\right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. (i) and (ii) can be easily obtained by the definition of A_p^ρ. We only prove (iii). In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\Psi(Q)|Q|}\int_Q |f(y)|dy &= \frac{1}{\Psi(Q)|Q|}\int_Q |f(y)|\omega^{\frac{1}{p}}(y)\omega^{-\frac{1}{p}}(y)dy \\
&\le \left(\frac{1}{\Psi(Q)|Q|}\int_Q |f(y)|^p\omega(y)dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{1}{\Psi(Q)|Q|}\int_Q \omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y)dy\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
&\le C\left(\frac{1}{\Psi(Q)|Q|}\int_Q |f(y)|^p\omega(y)dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\qquad \times\left(\frac{1}{\Psi(5Q)|5Q|}\int_{5Q} \omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y)dy\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
&\le C\left(\frac{1}{\Psi(Q)|Q|}\int_Q |f(y)|^p\omega(y)dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{1}{\Psi(5Q)|5Q|}\int_{5Q} \omega(y)dy\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\le C\left(\frac{1}{\omega(5Q)}\int_Q |f|^p\omega(y)dy\right)^{1/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (iii) is proved. □

We also need the dyadic maximal operator $M^{\triangle}_{V,\eta}f(x)$ with $0<\eta<\infty$ defined by

$$M^{\triangle}_{V,\eta}f(x) := \sup_{x\in Q(dyadic\ cube)} \frac{1}{\Psi(Q)^\eta|Q|}\int_Q |f(x)|\,dx.$$

Let $0<\eta<\infty$, the dyadic sharp maximal operator $M^{\sharp}_{V,\eta}f(x)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{\sharp}_{V,\eta}f(x) &:= \sup_{x\in Q, r<\rho(x_0)} \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_{Q(x_0,r)} |f(y)-f_Q|\,dy + \sup_{x\in Q, r\ge\rho(x_0)} \frac{1}{\Psi(Q)^\eta|Q|}\int_{Q(x_0,r)} |f|\,dy \\
&\simeq \sup_{x\in Q, r<\rho(x_0)} \inf_C \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_{Q(x_0,r)} |f(y)-C|\,dy + \sup_{x\in Q, r\ge\rho(x_0)} \frac{1}{\Psi(Q)^\eta|Q|}\int_{Q(x_0,r)} |f|\,dx
\end{aligned}$$

where $Q'_{x_0}s$ denote dyadic cubes $Q(x_0,r)$ and $f_Q=\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q f(x)dx$.

A variant of dyadic maximal operator and dyadic sharp maximal operator

$$M^{\triangle}_{\delta,\eta}f(x) = M^{\triangle}_{V,\eta}(|f|^\delta)^{1/\delta}(x)$$

and

$$M^{\sharp}_{\delta,\eta}f(x) = M^{\sharp}_{V,\eta}(|f|^\delta)^{1/\delta}(x),$$

which will become the main tool in our scheme.

Bongioanni, etc, [2] proved the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *If $\omega\in A^\rho_\infty=\bigcup_{p\ge1}A^\rho_p$, then there exists constants $\widetilde{C}>0$ and $\delta_1>0$, such that for any $Q=Q(x_0,r)\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ with $r<\rho(x_0)$ such that for any measurable $E\subset Q$*

$$\frac{\omega(E)}{\omega(Q)} \le \widetilde{C}\left(\frac{|E|}{|Q|}\right)^{\delta_1}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $0<\eta<\infty$ and f be a locally integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda>0$, and $\Omega_\lambda=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\ M^{\triangle}_{V,\eta}f(x)>\lambda\}$. Then Ω_λ may be written as a disjoint union of dyadic cubes $\{Q_j\}$ with*

(i) $\lambda<(\Psi(Q_j)^\eta|Q_j|)^{-1}\int_{Q_j}|f(x)|\,dx$,

(ii) $|Q_j|^{-1}\int_{Q_j}|f(x)|\,dx\le 2^n(8nC_0)^{(l_0+2)\theta\eta}\lambda$, *for each cube* $Q_j=Q_j(x_j,r_j)$ *with* $r_j<\rho(x_j)$. *This has the immediate consequences:*

(iii) $|f(x)|\le\lambda$ for $a.e\ x\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\bigcup_j Q_j$

(iv) $|\Omega_\lambda|\le\lambda^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f(x)|\,dx$.

The proof follows from the same argument of Lemma 1 in page 150 in [17].

By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we establish the following weighted“good λ” inequality.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\omega\in A^\rho_\infty$ and $0<\eta<\infty$. For a locally integrable function f, and for b and γ positive $\gamma<b<b_0=(8nC_0)^{-(l_0+2)\theta\eta}$, we have the following inequality*

$$\omega(\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:M^{\triangle}_{V,\eta}f(x)>\lambda,M^{\sharp}_{V,\eta}f(x)\le\gamma\lambda\})\le\widetilde{C}a^{\delta_1}\omega(\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\ M^{\triangle}_{V,\eta}f(x)>b\lambda\})\quad(2.1)$$

for all $\lambda>0$, where $a=2^n\gamma/(1-\frac{b}{b_0})$, C_0 is defined in Lemma 2.1, $\widetilde{C}$ and δ_1 are defined in Lemma 2.3.

Proof. We may assume that the set $\{x:\ M^{\triangle}_{V,\eta}f(x)>b\lambda\}$ has finite measure, otherwise the inequality (2.1) is obvious. From Lemma 2.4, then this set is the union of disjoint maximal cubes $\{Q_j\}$. We let $Q=Q(x_0,r)$ denote one of these cubes. We consider two cases about sidelength r, that is, $r<1/m_V(x_0)$ and $r\ge 1/m_V(x_0)$.

Case 1. When $r<1/m_V(x_0)$, let $\widetilde{Q}\supset Q$ be the parent of Q, by the maximality of Q we have $|f|_{\widetilde{Q}}\le b\lambda\Psi(\widetilde{Q})^\eta\le b\lambda/b_0$ by Lemma 2.1. So far all $x\in Q$ for which $M^{\triangle}_{V,\eta}f(x)>\lambda$, it follows that $M^{\triangle}_{V,\eta}(f\chi_Q)](x)>\lambda$, and also that $M^{\triangle}_{V,\eta}[(f-f_{\widetilde{Q}})\chi_Q](x)>(1-b/b_0)\lambda$. By the weak type (1,1) of $M^{\triangle}_{V,\eta}$(see (iv) of Lemma 2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\{x\in Q:M^{\triangle}_{V,\eta}f(x)>t,M^{\sharp}_{V,\eta}f(x)\le\gamma t\}|&\le\frac{1}{(1-b/b_0)\lambda}\int_Q|f-f_{\widetilde{Q}}|dx\\&\le\frac{1}{(1-b/b_0)t}\int_{\widetilde{Q}}|f-f_{\widetilde{Q}}|dx\\&\le\frac{|\widetilde{Q}|}{(1-b/b_0)t}\inf_{x\in Q}M^{\sharp}_{V,\eta}f(x)\\&\le\frac{2^n\gamma|Q|}{1-b/b_0},\end{aligned}$$

if the set in question is not empty. So

$$|\{x\in Q:M^{\triangle}_{V,\eta}f(x)>\lambda,M^{\sharp}_{V,\eta}f(x)\le\gamma\lambda\}|\le a|Q|.$$

From this and by Lemma 2.3, in the case $r < 1/m_V(x_0)$, we have

$$\omega(\{x \in Q : M^{\triangle}_{V,\eta}f(x) > \lambda, M^{\sharp}_{V,\eta}f(x) \le \gamma\lambda\}) \le \widetilde{C}a^{\delta_1}\omega(Q). \tag{2.2}$$

Case 2. When $r \ge 1/m_V(x_0)$, note that

$$b\lambda < \frac{1}{\Psi(Q)^{\eta}|Q|}\int_Q |f(y)|dy \le \inf_{x\in Q} M^{\sharp}_{V,\eta}f(x) \le \gamma\lambda,$$

but $\gamma < b$, hence, the set in question is empty. Thus (2.2) holds for any Q, and hence (2.1). The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete. □

As a consequence of Theorem 2.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $0 < p,\ \eta,\ \delta < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_\infty$. There exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M^{\triangle}_{\delta,\eta}f(x)^p\omega(x)dx \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M^{\sharp}_{\delta,\eta}f(x)^p\omega(x)dx.$$

Let $\varphi : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ be a doubling function. Then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\sup_{\lambda>0}\varphi(\lambda)\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n :\ M^{\triangle}_{\delta,\eta}f(x) > \lambda\}) \le C\sup_{\lambda>0}\varphi(\lambda)\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n :\ M^{\sharp}_{\delta,\eta}f(x) > \lambda\})$$

for any smooth function f for which the left handside is finite.

To establish weighted inequality for fractional integrals, we need introduce $A^{\rho}_{(p,q)}$. We say that a weight ω belongs to the class $A^{\rho}_{(p,q)}$ for $1 \le p < \infty$ and $1 \le q < \infty$. Let $p' = p/(p-1)$, if there is a constant C such that for any cube $Q = Q(x,r)$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Psi(Q)|Q|}\int_Q [\omega(y)]^q\,dy\right)^{1/q}\left(\frac{1}{\Psi(Q)|Q|}\int_Q [\omega(y)]^{-p'}\,dy\right)^{1/p'} \le C.$$

Obviously, $\omega^{1/p} \in A^{\rho}_{(p,p)} \Leftrightarrow \omega \in A^{\rho}_p$ for $1 \le p < \infty$.

Next, let $0 \le \beta < n$, we give a result about the operator $M_{\beta,\omega}$ defined by

$$M_{\beta,\omega}(f)(x) = \sup_{x\in B}\frac{1}{\omega(5B)^{1-\beta/n}}\int_B |f(x)|\omega(x)dx.$$

In the rest of this section, we write $M_\omega f(x) = M_{0,\omega}f(x)$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $0 \le \beta < n, 1 \le p < n/\beta$ and $1/q = 1/p - \beta/n$. If $\omega \in A^{\rho}_{\infty}$, then*

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n :\ M_{\beta,\omega}f(x) > \lambda\}) \le C\left(\frac{\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}}{\lambda}\right)^q,\ \forall\lambda > 0,\ \forall f \in L^p(\omega).$$

In particular, from (3.1) and using Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem, then for $1 < p < n/\beta$ and $1/q = 1/p - \beta/n$ so that

$$\|M_{\beta,\omega}f\|_{L^q(\omega)} \le C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

Proof. We set $x \in E_\lambda = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ M_{\beta,\omega}f(x) > \lambda\}$ with any $\lambda > 0$, then, there exists a ball $B_x \ni x$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\omega(5B_x)^{1-\beta/n}} \int_{B_x} |f(y)|\omega(y)dy > \lambda. \tag{2.3}$$

Thus, $\{B_x\}_{x\in E_\lambda}$ covers E_λ. By Vitali lemma, there exists a class disjoint cubes $\{B_{xj}\}$ such that $\bigcup B_{xj} \subset E_\lambda \subset \bigcup 5B_{xj}$ and

$$\omega(E_\lambda) \le \sum_j \omega(5B_{xj}). \tag{2.4}$$

From (2.3), we have

$$\lambda < \frac{1}{\omega(5B_x)^{1/q}} \left(\int_{B_x} |f(y)|^p\omega(y)dy\right)^{1/p}.$$

From this and by (2.4), note that $p/q \le 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(E_\lambda)^{p/q} &\le \sum_j \omega(5B_{xj})^{p/q} \le \frac{C}{\lambda^p}\sum_j \int_{B_{xj}} |f(y)|^p\omega(y)dy \\ &= \frac{C}{\lambda^p}\int_{\bigcup_j B_{xj}} |f(y)|^p\omega(y)dy \le \frac{C}{\lambda^p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)|^p\omega(y)dy. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Lemma 2.5 is proved. □

The fractional maximal operator $M_{\beta,V}$ is defined by

$$M_{\beta,V}f(x) = \sup_{x\in Q} \frac{1}{(\Psi(Q)|Q|)^{1-\frac{\beta}{n}}} \int_Q |f(y)|\,dy,$$

where $0 \le \beta < n$, we let M_V denote $M_{0,V}$.

From (iii) of Lemma 2.2, we know that for $1 \le p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p^\rho$

$$M_V f(x) \le C(M_\omega(|f|^p)(x))^{1/p}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

From this and using Lemma 2.5, we can get the following result.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and suppose that $\omega \in A_p^\rho$. If $p < p_1 < \infty$, then the equality*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M_V f(x)|^{p_1}\omega(x)dx \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p_1}\omega(x)dx.$$

Further, let $1 \le p < \infty$, $\omega \in A_p^\rho$ if and only if

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ M_V f(x) > \lambda\}) \le \frac{C}{\lambda^p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p\omega(x)dx.$$

For the fractional maximal operator $M_{\beta,V}$, we have:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $0 < \beta < n, 1 \le p < n/\beta$ and $1/q = 1/p - \beta/n$. If $\omega \in A^\rho_{(p,q)}$, then*

$$\left(\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\ M_{\beta,V}f(x)>\lambda\})} [\omega(y)]^q dy\right)^{1/q} \le \frac{C}{\lambda}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p\omega(x)dx\right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. Fix $M > 0$ and let $E_{\lambda,M} = \{|x| < M : M_{\beta,V}f(x) > \lambda\}$. For each $x \in E_{\lambda,M}$ there is a cube $Q \ni x$ such that

$$(\Psi(Q)|Q|)^{\frac{\beta}{n}-1}\int_Q |f(y)|dy > \lambda. \tag{2.5}$$

Pick a sequence $\{Q_k\}$ of these cubes such that $E_{\lambda,M} \subset \bigcup Q_k$ and no point of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is in more than L of these cubes where L depends only on n (see [14]). Note that $p/q < 1$, by (2.5), we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{E_{\lambda,M}}[\omega(x)]^q dx\right)^{p/q} &\le \left(\sum_k \int_{Q_k}[\omega(x)]^q dx\right)^{p/q} \le \sum_k \left(\int_{Q_k}[\omega(x)]^q dx\right)^{p/q}\\
&\le C\sum_k \left(\int_{Q_k}[\omega(x)]^q dx\right)^{p/q}\left(\lambda^{-1}(\Psi(Q_k)|Q_k|)^{\frac{\beta}{n}-1}\int_{Q_k}|f(x)|dx\right)^p\\
&\le C\sum_k \left(\int_{Q_k}[\omega(x)]^q dx\right)^{p/q}\lambda^{-p}(\Psi(Q_k)|Q_k|)^{1-p-p/q}\\
&\qquad\times\left(\int_{Q_k}|f(x)\omega(x)|^p dy\right)\left(\int_{Q_k}[\omega(x)]^{-p'}dx\right)^{p/p'}\\
&\le C\lambda^{-p}\sum_k \int_{Q_k}|f(x)\omega(x)|^p dx\\
&\le C\lambda^{-p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f(x)\omega(x)|^p dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the monotone convergence theorem, we can obtain the desired result. □

Next we will establish the weighted strong type (p,q) for a variant maximal operator $M_{V,\eta}$ for $0 < \eta < \infty$ as follows

$$M_{\beta,V,\eta}f(x) = \sup_{x\in Q}\frac{1}{(\Psi(Q))^\eta(\Psi(Q)|Q|)^{1-\frac{\beta}{n}}}\int_Q |f(y)|\,dy.$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let $0 \le \beta < n, 1 < p < n/\beta, 1/p + 1/p' = 1$ and $1/q = 1/p - \beta/n$. If $\omega \in A^\rho_{(p,q)}$ and $\eta \ge (1-\beta/n)p'/q$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|M_{\beta,V,\eta}f\|_{L^q(\omega^q)} \le C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega^p)}.$$

Proof. We shall adapt an argument in [12]. Note that $\omega \in A^\rho_{(p,q)} \Leftrightarrow \omega^q \in A^\rho_{1+q/p'}$, where $p' = p/(p-1)$. Let $\gamma = 1 + q/p'$, $\gamma' = \gamma/(\gamma-1)$ and $\nu = \omega^q$. Set $\sigma = \nu^{-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}$, then $\sigma \in A^\rho_{\gamma'}$. Let $f \in L^p(\omega^p)$, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, taking any compact set $K_k \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^k < M_{\beta,V,\eta}f(x) \le 2^{k+1}\}$, for any $x \in K_k$, $\exists Q_x \ni x$ such that

$$2^{k+1} \ge \frac{1}{(\Psi(Q_x))^\eta(\Psi(Q_x)|Q_x|)^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{Q_x} f(y)|dy > 2^k.$$

We take finite cover $\{Q^k_j\}$ from the cover $\{Q_x\}_{x\in K_k}$ of K_k. Write

$$E^k_1 = Q^k_1 \bigcap K_k,\ E^k_j = (Q^k_j - \bigcup_{i<j} Q^k_j)\bigcap K_k,\ j > 1,$$

then $\{E_j^k\}$ is a disjoint collection on j for fixed k, and $K_k = \bigcup_j E_j^k$, we then get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\bigcup_k K_k} |M_{\beta,V,\eta} f|^q \omega^q dx &\le C \sum_{k,j} 2^{kq} \nu(E_j^k)\\ &\le C \sum_{k,j} \nu(E_j^k) \left(\frac{1}{(\Psi(Q_j^k))^\eta (\Psi(Q_j^k)|Q_j^k|)^{1-\beta/n}} \int_{Q_j^k} |f(y)| dy \right)^q\\ = C \sum_{k,j} \nu(E_j^k) &\left(\frac{\sigma(5Q_j^k)^{1-\beta/n}}{(\Psi(Q_j^k))^\eta (\Psi(Q_j^k)|Q_j^k|)^{1-\beta/n}} \right)^q\\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(5Q_j^k)^{1-\beta/n}} \int_{Q_j^k} |f(y)| \sigma^{-1} \sigma dy \right)^q.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Define the measure μ on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_+$ by

$$\mu: \ (k,j) \to \mu_{k,j} = \nu(E_j^k) \left(\frac{\sigma(5Q_j^k)^{1-\beta/n}}{(\Psi(Q_j^k))^\eta (\Psi(Q_j^k)|Q_j^k|)^{1-\beta/n}} \right)^q.$$

Write

$$\Gamma(\lambda) = \left\{ (k,j): \ \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(5Q_j^k)^{1-\beta/n}} \int_{Q_j^k} |f(y)| \sigma^{-1} \sigma dy \right)^q > \lambda \right\}, \ \lambda > 0,$$

$$G(\lambda) = \bigcup \{ Q_j^k : \ (k,j) \in \Gamma(\lambda) \}.$$

Since $\nu \in A_\gamma^\rho$, then

$$\sup_Q \left(\frac{\sigma(5Q)}{\Psi(Q)|Q|} \right)^\gamma \left(\frac{\nu(5Q)}{\Psi(Q)|Q|} \right)^{\gamma'} \le C.$$

Note that $\gamma = (1-\beta/n)q$ and $\eta \ge (1-\beta/n)p'/q$, we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{k,j} = \nu(E_j) &\left(\frac{\sigma(5Q_j^k)^{1-\beta/n}}{(\Psi(Q_j^k))^\eta (\Psi(Q_j^k)|Q_j^k|)^{1-\beta/n}} \right)^q \le C \nu(E_j^k) \left(\frac{|Q_j^k|}{\nu(5Q_j^k)} \right)^{\gamma'}\\ &\le C \nu(E_j^k) \left(\frac{1}{\nu(5Q_j^k)} \int_{Q_k^j} \nu^{-1} \nu dy \right)^{\gamma'}\\ &\le C \nu(E_j^k) \inf_{x \in Q_j^k} M_\nu (\nu^{-1} \chi_{Q_j^k})^{\gamma'}(x)\\ &\le C \int_{E_j^k} M_\nu (\nu^{-1} \chi_{Q_j^k})^{\gamma'}(x) \nu(x) dx.\end{aligned}$$

Since M_ν is bounded on $L^{\gamma'}(\nu)$ by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\Gamma(\lambda)) = \sum_{(k,j) \in \Gamma(\lambda)} \mu_{k,j} &\le C \sum_{(k,j) \in \Gamma(\lambda)} \int_{E_j^k} M_\nu (\nu^{-1} \chi_{G(\lambda)})^{\gamma'}(x) \nu(x) dx\\ &\le \int_{G(\lambda} M_\nu (\nu^{-1} \chi_{G(\lambda)})^{\gamma'}(x) \nu(x) dx\\ &\le C \int_{G(\lambda)} \nu^{1-\gamma'} dx = \sigma(G(\lambda)).\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$G(\lambda) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ (M_{\beta,\sigma}(f\sigma^{-1}))^q(x) > \lambda\},$$

so

$$\sigma(G(\lambda)) \leq \sigma(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ (M_{\beta,\sigma}(f\sigma^{-1}))^q(x) > \lambda\}).$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.5, the right side of (2.6) equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty \mu(\Gamma(\lambda))d\lambda &\leq C\int_0^\infty \sigma(G(\lambda)d\lambda \\ &\leq C\int_0^\infty \sigma(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ (M_{\beta,\sigma}(f\sigma^{-1}))^q(x) > \lambda\})d\lambda \\ &= C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (M_{\beta,\sigma}(f\sigma^{-1}))^q \sigma dx \\ &\leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p \sigma^{1-p} dx\right)^{q/p} \\ &= C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f\omega|^p dx\right)^{q/p}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (M_{\beta,V,\eta}f)^q \omega^q dx\right)^{1/q} \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p \omega^p dx\right)^{1/p}.$$

Theorem 2.2 is proved. □

We next recall some basic definitions and facts about Orlicz spaces, referring to [16] for a complete account.

A function $B(t) : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is called a Young function if it is continuous, convex, increasing and satisfies $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $B \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. If B is a Young function, we define the B-average of a function f over a cube Q by means of the following Luxemberg norm:

$$\|f\|_{B,Q} = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 : \ \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q B\left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda}\right) dy \leq 1\right\}.$$

The generalized Hölder's inequality

$$\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_B |fg|\, dy \leq \|f\|_{B,Q}\|g\|_{\bar{B},Q}$$

holds, where $\bar{B}$ is the complementary Young function associated to B. And we define the corresponding maximal function

$$M_B f(x) = \sup_{Q:x\in Q} \|f\|_{B,Q}$$

and for $0 < \eta < \infty$ and $0 \leq \beta < n$

$$M_{B,\beta,V,\eta}f(x) = \sup_{Q:x\in Q} \Psi(Q)^{-\eta}(\Psi(Q)|Q|)^{\beta/n}\|f\|_{B,Q}.$$

In particular, if $\beta = 0$, we denote $M_{B,0,V,\eta}f(x)$ by $M_{B,V,\eta}f(x)$.

The in example that we are going to use is $B(t) = t(1 + log^+ t)$ with the maximal function denoted by M_{LlogL}. The complementary Young function is given by $\bar{B}(t) \approx e^t$ with the corresponding maximal function denoted by M_{expL}.

3. Weighted norm inequalities for Schrödinger type operators

We first consider a class Schrödinger type operators such as $\nabla(-\Delta+V)^{-1}\nabla$, $\nabla(-\Delta+V)^{-1/2}$, $(-\Delta+V)^{-1/2}\nabla$ with $V\in B_n$, $(-\Delta+V)^{i\gamma}$ with $\gamma\in\mathbb{R}$ and $V\in B_{n/2}$, and $\nabla^2(-\Delta+V)^{-1}$ with V is a nonnegative polynomial, are standard Calderón-Zygmund operators, in particular, the kernels K of operators above all satisfy the following conditions for some $\delta_0>0$ and any $l\in\mathbb{N}_0=\mathbb{N}\bigcup\{0\}$,

$$|K(x,y)|\le\frac{C_l}{(1+|x-y|(m_V(x)+m_V(y)))^l}\frac{1}{|x-y|^n}\tag{3.1}$$

and

$$|K(x+h,y)-k(x,y)|+|K(x,y+h)-K(x,y)|\le\frac{C_l}{(1+|x-y|(m_V(x)+m_V(y)))^l}\frac{|h|^{\delta_0}}{|x-y|^{n+\delta_0}},\tag{3.2}$$

whenever $x,y,h\in\mathbb{R}^n$, and $|h|<|x-y|/2$, and $m_V(x)$ is defined in Section 2.

Theorem 3.1. *Let T denote the operators above. Let $1<p<\infty$ and suppose that $\omega\in A_p^\rho$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|Tf(x)|^p\omega(x)dx\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f(x)|^p\omega(x)dx.$$

Further, suppose that $\omega\in A_1^\rho$. Then, there exists a constant C such that for all $\lambda>0$

$$\omega(\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\ Tf(x)>\lambda\})\le\frac{C}{\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f(x)|\omega(x)dx.$$

We remark that the weighted boundedness of $\nabla(-\Delta+V)^{-1/2}$, $(-\Delta+V)^{-1/2}\nabla$ with $V\in B_n$ is proved in [2]. We can prove Theorem 3.1 by using the similar proof of Theorems 3.4 below. We omit the details here.

Next we give a result of maximal Schrödinger type operators.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $0<p,\eta<\infty$ and suppose that $\omega\in A_\infty^\rho$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|T^*f(x)|^p\omega(x)dx\le C_{p,\eta}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|M_{V,\eta}f(x)|^p\omega(x)dx$$

and

$$\sup_{\lambda>0}\lambda\omega(\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\ T^*f(x)>\lambda\})\le C\sup_{\lambda>0}\lambda\omega(\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\ M_{V,\eta}f(x)>\lambda\}),$$

where the maximal operator T^* defined by

$$T^*f(x):=\sup_{\epsilon>0}|T_\epsilon f(x)|=\sup_{\epsilon>0}\left|\int_{|y-x|>\epsilon}K(x,y)f(y)dy\right|.$$

To prove theorem 3.2, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For any a ball $B = B(x_0, r)$, if $r \geq 1/m_V(x_0)$, then the ball B can be decomposed into finite disjoint cubes $\{Q_i\}_{i=1,m}$ such that $B \subset \bigcup_i^m Q_i \subset 2\sqrt{n}B$ and $r_i/2 \leq 1/m_V(x) \leq 2\sqrt{n}C_0 r_i$ for some $x \in Q_i = Q(x_i, r_i)$, where C_0 is same as Lemma 2.1.*

Proof. In fact, let Q be a cube with center at x_0 and sidelength $2r$. Obviously, $B \subset Q \subset 2\sqrt{n}B$. If there exist a point $x \in Q$ such that $2r/2 \leq 1/m_V(x)$, by Lemma 2.1 and $r \geq 1/m_V(x_0)$, we then know that $1/m_V(x) \leq 2\sqrt{n}C_0 2r$, thus Q will be satisfied. Otherwise, we split Q into 2^n disjoint cubes O_i with sidelength r. If Q_i satisfies $r/2 \leq 1/m_V(x) \leq 2\sqrt{n}C_0 r$ for some $x \in Q_i$, we keep it, otherwise, we continuous split Q_i as above. From Lemma 2.1, we know that $1/m(x) > (1 + 2r\sqrt{n}/m_V(x_0))^{-l_0}/(C_0 m_V(x_0))$ for all $x \in Q$. Therefore, the splitting steps must be finite. Thus, we can obtain finite disjoint cubes Q_i such that $Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^m Q_i$ and $r_i/2 \leq 1/m_V(x) \leq 2C_0 r_i$ for some $x \in Q_i = Q(x_i, r_i)$. Obviously, these cubes $Q_i's$ are just what we need. Thus, Lemma 3.1 is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2. The set $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T^*f(x) > t\}$ is open. Therefore we can decompose it as a disjoint union $\Omega = \bigcup Q_j$ of Whitney cubes: they are mutually disjoint and $2\, diam(Q_j) \leq dist(Q_j, \Omega^c) \leq 8\, diam(Q_j)$. Moreover, the family $4Q_j$ is almost disjoint with constant 4^n, and obviously $4Q_j \subset \Omega$.

To prove Theorem 3.2, we only need to show that

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T^*f(x) > (1+\beta)t \text{ and } M_{V,\eta}f(x) \leq \gamma t\}) \leq a\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n :\ T^*f(x) > t\}) \quad (3.3)$$

for all $t > 0$ and $a < (1+\beta)^{-1-\frac{1}{p}}$.

Let $Q_j = Q(x_j, r_j)$, we set $E_1 = \{j : r_j \leq 1/m_V(x_j)\}$ and $E_2 = \{j : r_j > 1/m_V(x_j)\}$. From the proof of Lemma 3.1, we know that for any $j \in E_2$, the cube Q_j can be decomposed into finite disjoint cubes $\{Q_j^i\}_{i=1}^{j_m}$ such that $Q_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^{j_m} Q_j^i$ and $r_{ji}/2 \leq 1/m_V(x) \leq 2\sqrt{n}C_0 r_{ji}$ for some $x \in Q_j^i$. We are going to show that, given $\beta > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, there exists $\gamma = \gamma(\beta, \alpha, n)$ such that for all $j \in E_1$

$$|\{x \in Q_j : T^*f(x) > (1+\beta)t \text{ and } M_{V,\eta}f(x) \leq \gamma t\}| \leq 4^n \alpha |Q_j|$$

and $j \in E_2$ and $Q_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^{j_m} Q_j^i$ such that

$$|\{x \in Q_j^i : T^*f(x) > (1+\beta)t \text{ and } M_{V,\eta}f(x) \leq \gamma t\}| \leq 4^n \alpha |Q_j^i|$$

From these and using Lemma 3.1 with $q_0 = (20nC_0)^{(l_0+2)\alpha}$, we have

$$\omega(\{x \in Q_j : T^*f(x) > (1+\beta)t \text{ and } M_{V,\eta}f(x) \leq \gamma t\}) \leq C\alpha^{\delta_1}\omega(Q_j),\ j \in E_1 \quad (3.4)$$

and $j \in E_2$ and $Q_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^{j_m} Q_j^i$ such that

$$\omega(\{x \in Q_j^i : T^*f(x) > (1+\beta)t \text{ and } M_{V,\eta}f(x) \leq \gamma t\}) \leq C\alpha^{\delta_1}\omega(Q_j^i). \quad (3.5)$$

Summing over j and i, we get

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T^*f(x) > (1+\beta)t \text{ and } M_{V,\eta}f(x) \leq \gamma t\}) \leq C\alpha^{\delta_1}\omega(\Omega).$$

Choose α so that $C\alpha^{\delta_1} < (1+\beta)^{-1-\frac{1}{p}}$, we would finally obtain (3.5).

It remains to prove (3.4) and (3.5). We first consider the term (3.4). Fix j and set $Q = Q_j = Q(x_0, r)$. Assume that there exists $\bar{x} \in Q$ so that $M_{V,\eta}f(\bar{x}) \leq \gamma t$(if not, the set appearing in (3.4) would be empty). Let $z \in \Omega^c$ such that $dist(z, Q) = dist(Q, \Omega^c)$. Note that

$$Q \subset P = Q(\bar{x}, \frac{5}{2}r) \subset 4Q \subset Q_z = Q(z, 18r).$$

Set $f_1 = f\chi_{Q_z}$ and $f_2 = f - f_1$. Note that $r < 1/m_V(x_0)$ implies $\Psi(Q_z) \sim 1$, for $x \in Q$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}|T_\epsilon f_1(x)| &\leq |T_\epsilon(f\chi_P)(x)| + \frac{C}{r^n}\int_{Q_z}|f(y)|dy\\ &\leq |T^*(f\chi_P)(x)| + CM_{V,\eta}f(\bar{x})\\ &\leq |T^*(f\chi_P)(x)| + C\gamma t.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|T_\epsilon f(x)| \leq |T_\epsilon f_2(x)| + |T^*(f\chi_P)(x)| + C\gamma t.$$

By direction computation, we obtain

$$|T_\epsilon f_2(x) - T_\epsilon f_2(z)| \leq CM_{V,\eta}f(\bar{x})$$

and

$$|T_\epsilon f_2(z)| \leq T^*f(z) \leq t.$$

Then

$$T^*f(x) \leq T^*(f\chi_P)(x) + (1 + C\gamma)t, \quad x \in Q.$$

Take γ so that $2C\gamma \leq \beta$, we then have

$$\{x \in Q_j : T^*f(x) > (1+\beta)t \text{ and } M_{V,\eta}f(x) \leq \gamma t\} \subset \{x \in Q : T^*(f\chi_P)(x) > \frac{\beta}{2}t\}.$$

By the weak type (1,1) of T^*, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|\{x \in Q : T^*(f\chi_P)(x) > \tfrac{\beta}{2}t\}| &\leq \frac{C}{\beta t}\int_P |f(y)|dy\\ &\leq \frac{C|Q|}{\beta t}\frac{1}{|4Q|}\int_{4Q}|f(y)|dy\\ &\leq \frac{C|Q|}{\beta t}M_{V,\eta}f(\bar{x})\\ &\leq \frac{C\gamma|Q|}{\beta} \leq \alpha|Q|,\end{aligned}$$

if γ is chosen small enough so that $C\beta^{-1}\gamma \leq \alpha$.

Finally, we consider the term (3.5). Similar to (4.6), fix j, i and set $Q = Q_j^i$ and $r = l(Q)$. Assume that there exists $\bar{x} \in Q$ so that $M_{V,\eta}f(\bar{x}) \leq \gamma t$. Note that

$$Q \subset P = Q(\bar{x}, \frac{5}{2}r) \subset 4Q \subset Q_{\bar{x}} = Q(\bar{x}, 18r).$$

Set $f_1 = f\chi_{Q_{\bar{x}}}$ and $f_2 = f - f_1$. Then for $x \in Q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_\epsilon f_1(x)| &\le |T_\epsilon(f\chi_P)(x)| + \frac{C}{r^n}\int_{Q_{\bar{x}}} |f(y)|dy \\
&\le |T^*(f\chi_P)(x)| + CM_{V,\eta}f(\bar{x}) \\
&\le |T^*(f\chi_P)(x)| + C\gamma t,
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$|T_\epsilon f(x)| \le |T_\epsilon f_2(x)| + |T^*(f\chi_P)(x)| + C\gamma t.$$

By direction computation, we obtain

$$|T_\epsilon f_2(x)| \le CM_{V,\eta}f(\bar{x}).$$

Then

$$T^* f(x) \le T^*(f\chi_P)(x) + C\gamma t, \quad x \in Q.$$

The rest proof is similar to that of (3.4), we omit the details. Thus, Theorem 3.2 is proved. □

Next we consider another class $V \in B_q$ for $n/2 \le q$ for Riesz transforms associated to Schrödinger operators. Let $T_1 = (-\triangle + V)^{-1}V$, $T_2 = (-\triangle + V)^{-1/2}V^{1/2}$ and $T_3 = (-\triangle + V)^{-1/2}\nabla$.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose $V \in B_q$ and $q \ge n/2$. Then*

(i) If $q' \le p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A^\rho_{p/q'}$,

$$\|T_1 f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \le C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)};$$

(ii) If $(2q)' \le p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A^\rho_{p/(2q)'}$,

$$\|T_2 f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \le C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)};$$

(iii) If $p_0' \le p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A^\rho_{p/p_0'}$, where $1/p_0 = 1/q - 1/n$ and $n/2 \le q < n$,

$$\|T_3 f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \le C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

Let $T_1^* = V(-\triangle + V)^{-1}, T_2^* = V^{1/2}(-\triangle + V)^{-1/2}$ and $T_3^* = \nabla(-\triangle + V)^{-1/2}$. By duality we can easily get the following results.

Corollary 3.1. *Suppose $V \in B_q$ and $q \ge n/2$. Then*

(i) If $1 < p \le q$ and $\omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in A^\rho_{p'/q'}$,

$$\|T_1^* f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \le C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)};$$

(ii) If $1 < p \le 2q$ and $\omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in A^{\rho}_{p'/(2q)'}$,

$$\|T_2^* f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \le C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)};$$

(iii) If $1 < p \le p_0$ and $\omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in A^{\rho}_{p'/p_0'}$, where $1/p_0 = 1/q - 1/n$ and $n/2 \le q < n$,

$$\|T_3^* f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \le C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

We remark that the weighted $L^p(\omega)$ boundedness of T_3, T_3^* is proved in [2].

To prove Theorem 3.3, we need the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *Let q, p_0 be same as in Theorem 3.3. There exist constants $C_N > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and s_1, s_2, s_3 such that $s_1 > q, s_2 > 2q, s_3 > p_0$, such that, for $\forall\ r > 0, x, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x - x_0| \le r$, then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^k r)^{\frac{n}{s_i'}} \left(\int_{2^k r \le |y-x_0| < 2^{k+1} r} |K(x,y) - K(x_0,y)|^{s_i} dy \right)^{1/s_i} \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_N}{2^{k\delta}(1 + m_V(x_0)2^k r)^N},$$

where K_i denotes the kernels of T_i defined as above, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $1/s_i' + 1/s_i = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Lemma 3.2 is essentially proved in [9].

Proof of Theorem 3.3. For convenience, Let T denote these operators T_1, T_2, T_3, K, s denote the kernel K_i of T_i and s_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ respectively. From Corollary 2.1 and Proposition 2.1, and note that for any $\eta > 0$, $|f(x)| \le M_V^{\triangle} f(x)$, *a.e.* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we need only to show that

$$M_V^{\sharp}(Tf)(x) \le C M_V^{1/s}(|f|^s)(x), \text{ a.e } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{3.6}$$

holds for any $f(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $x \in Q = Q(x_0, r)$(dyadic cube). Decompose $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f\chi_{\bar{Q}}$, where $\bar{Q} = Q(x, 8\sqrt{n}r)$. Let C_Q a constant to be fixed along the proof.

To prove (3.3), we consider two cases about r, that is, $r < \rho(x_0)$ and $r \ge \rho(x_0)$.

Case 1. when $r < \rho(x_0)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |Tf(y) - C_Q|\,dy &\le \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |T(f_1)(y)|\,dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |T(f_2)(y) - C_Q|\,dy \\ &= I + II. \end{aligned}$$

To deal with I, note that $m_V(x) \sim m_V(x_0)$ for any $x \in \bar{Q}$ and $\Psi(\bar{Q}) \sim 1$, by $L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of T (see [18]), we then have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\le C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |Tf(y)|^s\,dy\right)^{1/s} \\ &\le C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_{\bar{Q}} |f(y)|^s\,dy\right)^{1/s} \le C M_V^{1/s}(|f|^s)(x), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $1/s' + 1/s = 1$.

Finally, for II we first fix the value of C_Q by taking $C_Q = (T(f_2))_Q$, the average of $T(f_2)$ on Q. Let $Q_k = Q(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)$. By Lemmas 2.1 and 3.2, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq \frac{C}{|Q|^2}\int_Q\int_Q\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\bar{Q}} |K(y,\omega)-K(z,\omega)||f(\omega)|d\omega dz dy \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|Q|^2}\int_Q\int_Q\int_{|x_0-\omega|>16r} |K(y,\omega)-K(z,\omega)||f(\omega)|d\omega dz dy \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|Q|^2}\int_Q\int_Q\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\int_{2^kr\leq|x_0-\omega|<2^{k+1}r} |K(y,\omega)-K(z,\omega)||f(\omega)|d\omega dz dy \\ &\leq C_N\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{C_N}{2^{k\delta}(1+m_V(x_0)2^kr)^N}\left(\int_{Q_k}|f(\omega)|^s d\omega\right)^{1/s} \leq C_N M_V(|f|^s)^{1/s}(x), \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $N = (l_0+1)\theta\eta$.

Case 2. when $r > \rho(x_0)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Psi(Q)|Q|}\int_Q |Tf(y)|\,dy &\leq \frac{1}{\Psi(Q)|Q|}\int_Q |T(f_1)(y)|\,dy + \frac{1}{\Psi(Q)|Q|}\int_Q |T(f_2)(y)|\,dy \\ &:= I_1 + II_1. \end{aligned}$$

To deal with I_1, by $L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of T again, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq C\left(\frac{1}{\Psi(Q)^s|Q|}\int_Q |Tf(y)|^s\,dy\right)^{1/s} \\ &\leq C\left(\frac{1}{\Psi(Q)^s|Q|}\int_{\bar{Q}} |f(y)|^s\,dy\right)^{1/s} \leq CM_V^{1/s}(|f|^s)(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

For II, by Lemma 2.1, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\bar{Q}} |k(y,\omega)||f(\omega)|d\omega dy \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q\int_{|x_0-\omega|>16r} |k(y,\omega)||f(\omega)|d\omega dy \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\int_{2^kr\leq|x_0-\omega|<2^{k+1}r} |k(y,\omega)||f(\omega)|d\omega dy \\ &\leq C_N\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{C_N}{(1+m_V(x_0)2^kr)^N|Q_k|}\left(\int_{Q_k}|f(\omega)|d\omega\right) \leq C_N M_V(|f|^s)^{1/s}(x), \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where $N = (l_0+1)\theta\eta + 1$.

From (3.7)–(3.10), we get (3.6). Hence the proof is finished. □

Finally, we establish some weighted inequalities for fractional integrals associated with Schrödinger operators defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_\beta f(x) = L^{-\beta/2}f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tL}f(x)t^{\beta/2-1}dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_\beta(x,y)f(y)dy \quad \text{for} \quad 0<\beta<n.$$

Using Proposition 2.4 in [8], we can get the following result for the fractional integral associated with Schrödinger operator.

Lemma 3.3. *If $V \in B_q(\mathbb{R}^n), q \geq n/2$ and $0 < \beta < n$, k_β denotes the kernel of the fractional integral I_β as above, then there exists $\delta_0 = \delta_0(q) > 0$ such that for every $l > 0$ there is a constant C_l so that*

$$|k_\beta(x,y)| \leq \frac{C_l}{(1+|x-y|(m_V(x)+m_V(y)))^l} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\beta}}$$

and

$$|k_\beta(x+h,y) - k_\beta(x,y)| \leq \frac{C_l}{(1+|x-y|(m_V(x)+m_V(y)))^l} \frac{|h|^{\delta_0}}{|x-y|^{n-\beta+\delta_0}},$$

whenever $x, y, h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $|h| < |x-y|/2$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $0 < \beta < n, 1 < p < \beta/n$ and $1/q = 1/p - \beta/n$. If $\omega \in A^{\rho}_{(p,q)}$, then*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_\beta f(x)|^q \omega(x)^q dx\right)^{1/q} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \omega(x)^p dx\right)^{1/p}.$$

Further, suppose that $\mu = \omega^q \in A^{\rho}_1$ with $q = n/(n-\beta)$. Then, there exists a constant C such that for all $\lambda > 0$

$$\mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ |I_\beta f(x)| > \lambda\})^{1/q} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|\omega(x)dx.$$

Theorem 3.4 is proved in [2]. Here we give another proof. We will see that Theorem 3.4 follows from Proposition 2.2, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 3.5 below. It is worth pointing out that Theorem 3.5 has own interesting.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $0 < q, \eta < \infty$ and suppose that $\omega \in A^{\rho}_{\infty}$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |I_\beta f(x)|^q \omega(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M_{\beta,V,\eta} f(x)|^q \omega(x) dx$$

and

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda^q \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ |I_\beta f(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq C \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda^q \omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ M_{\beta,V,\eta} f(x) > \lambda\}),$$

Proof. To prove Theorem 3.3, from Corollary 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we need only to show that for any $0 < \eta < \infty$ and $0 < \delta < \eta/(\eta+1)$ such that

$$M^{\sharp}_{\delta,\eta}(I_\beta f)(x) \leq C M_{\beta,V,\eta}(f)(x)), \text{ a.e } \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{3.11}$$

Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $x \in Q = Q(x_0, r)$(dyadic cube). Decompose $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f\chi_{\bar{Q}}$, where $\bar{Q} = Q(x, 8\sqrt{n}r)$.

To prove (3.11), we consider two cases about r, that is, $r < 1/m_V(x_0)$ and $r \geq 1/m_V(x_0)$.

Case 1. when $r < \rho(x_0)$. Let $C_Q = |(I_\beta f_2)_Q|$. Since $0 < \delta < 1$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q ||I_\beta f(y)|^\delta - C_Q^\delta|\,dy\right)^{1/\delta} &\le \left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q ||I_\beta f(y)| - |(I_\beta f_2)_Q||^\delta\,dy\right)^{1/\delta}\\
&\le \left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta f(y) - (I_\beta f_2)_Q|^\delta\,dy\right)^{1/\delta}\\
&\le C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta f_1(y)|^\delta\,dy\right)^{1/\delta}\\
&\quad +C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta f_2(y) - (I_\beta f_2)_Q|^\delta\,dy\right)^{1/\delta}\\
&= I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

For I, we recall that I_β is weak type $(1, n/(n-\beta))$. Note that $m_V(x) \sim m_V(x_0)$ for any $x \in \bar{Q}$ and $\Psi(\bar{Q}) \sim 1$, by Kolmogorov's inequality(see[15]), we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\le \frac{C}{|Q|^{1-\beta/n}}\|I_\beta(f_1)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\beta},\infty}}\\
&\le \frac{C}{|\bar{Q}|^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{\bar{Q}} |f(y)|\,dy \le CM_{\beta,V,\eta}f(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

For II, let $Q_k = Q(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)$ and $\alpha = \eta + 1$. By Lemma 3.3, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
II &\le \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta(f_2)(y) - (I_\beta(f_2))_Q|\,dy\\
&\le \frac{C}{|Q|^2}\int_Q\int_Q\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\bar{Q}} |k_\beta(y,\omega) - k_\beta(z,\omega)||f(\omega)|d\omega dz dy\\
&\le \frac{C}{|Q|^2}\int_Q\int_Q\int_{|x_0-\omega|>2r} |k_\beta(y,\omega) - k_\beta(z,\omega)|f(\omega)|d\omega dz dy\\
&\le \frac{C}{|Q|^2}\int_Q\int_Q\sum_{k=4}^{\infty}\int_{2^k r\le|x_0-\omega|<2^{k+1}r} |k_\beta(y,\omega) - k_\beta(z,\omega)||f(\omega)|d\omega dz dy\\
&\le C_l\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{2^{-k}}{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^l(2^{k+1}r)^n}\int_{Q_k}|f(\omega)|d\omega\\
&\le C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{2^{-k}(1+2^k r m_V(Q_k))^{\alpha\theta}}{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^l}\frac{1}{(1+2^k r m_V(Q_k))^{\alpha\theta}|Q_k|^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{Q_k}|f(\omega)|d\omega\\
&\le C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{2^{-k}(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^{\alpha(l_0+1)\theta}}{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^l}\frac{1}{(1+2^k r m_V(Q_k))^{\alpha\theta}|Q_k|^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{Q_k}|f(\omega)|d\omega\\
&\le C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}2^{-k}M_{\beta,V,\eta}(f)(x) \le C_l M_{\beta,V,\eta}(f)(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

if taking $l = \theta(l_0+1)\alpha$.

Case 2. When $r \geq \rho(x_0)$, note that $\alpha_1 := \eta/\delta \geq \eta + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{C}{\Psi(Q)^{\alpha_1}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta f(y)|^\delta \, dy\right)^{1/\delta} &\leq \frac{C}{\Psi(Q)^{\alpha_1}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta f_1(y)|^\delta \, dy\right)^{1/\delta}\\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\Psi(Q)^{\alpha_1}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta f_2(y)|^\delta \, dy\right)^{1/\delta}\\ &:= I_1 + II_1.\end{aligned}$$

For I_1, similar to I, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \frac{C}{\Psi(Q)^{\alpha_1}}\frac{1}{|Q|^{1-\beta/n}}\|I_\beta f_1\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\beta},\infty}}\\ &\leq \frac{C}{\Psi(Q)^{\eta}(\Psi(Q)|\bar{Q}|)^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{\bar{Q}} |f(y)| \, d\mu(y) \\ &\leq CM_{\beta,V,\eta}f(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Finally, for II_1, by Lemma 3.3, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 &\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta(f_2)(y)| \, dy\\ &\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\bar{Q}} |k_\beta(y,\omega)||f(\omega)|d\omega dy\\ &\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q\int_{|x_0-\omega|>2r} |k_\beta(y,\omega)|f(\omega)|d\omega dy\\ &\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\int_{2^kr\leq|x_0-\omega|<2^{k+1}r} |k_\beta(y,\omega)|f(\omega)|d\omega dy\\ &\leq C_l\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1+2^krm_V(x_0))^l|Q_k|^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{Q_k}|f(\omega)|d\omega\\ &\leq C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(1+2^krm_V(Q_k))^{\theta\alpha_1}}{(1+2^krm_V(x_0))^l}\frac{1}{(1+2^krm_V(Q_k))^{\theta\alpha_1}|Q_k|^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{Q_k}|f(\omega)|d\omega\\ &\leq C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(1+2^krm_V(x_0))^{\theta(l_0+1)\alpha_1}}{(1+2^krm_V(x_0))^l}\frac{1}{(1+2^krm_V(Q_k))^{\theta\alpha_1}|Q_k|^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{Q_k}|f(\omega)|d\omega\\ &\leq C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}2^{-k}M_{\beta,V,\eta}(f)(x) \leq C_lM_{\beta,V,\eta}(f)(x), \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

if taking $l = \alpha_1(l_0+1)\theta + 1$.

From (3.12)–(3.14), we get (3.11). Hence the proof is finished. □

4. Commutators for Shrödinger type operators

Bongioanni, etc, [1] introduce a new space $BMO_\theta(\rho)$ defined by

$$\|f\|_{BMO_\theta(\rho)} = \sup_{B\subset\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{\Psi(B)|B|}\int_B |f(x) - f_B|dx < \infty,$$

where $f_B = \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B f(y)dy$ and $\Psi(B) = (1 + r/\rho(x_0))^\theta$, $B = B(x_0, r)$ and $\theta > 0$.

Bongioanni, etc, [1] proved the following result for $BMO_\theta(\rho)$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\theta > 0$ and $1 \le s < \infty$. If $b \in BMO_\theta(\rho)$, then*

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B |b - b_B|^s\right)^{1/s} \le cC_0^\theta s\|b\|_{BMO_\theta(\rho)}\left(1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x)}\right)^{\theta'},$$

for all $B = B(x, r)$, with $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, where $\theta' = (l_0 + 1)\theta$ and C_0 is defined in Lemma 2.1 and c is a constant depending only on n.

Obviously, the classical BMO is properly contained in $BMO_\theta(\rho)$; more examples see [1]. For convenience, we let $BMO(\rho)$ denote $BMO_\theta(\rho)$.

Proposition 4.2. *If $f \in BMO(\rho)$, then there exist positive constant c_1 and c_2 such that for every ball B and every $\lambda > 0$, we have*

$$|\{x \in B : |f(x) - f_B| > \lambda\}| \le c_1|B|\exp\left\{-\frac{c_2\lambda}{\|f\|_{BMO(\rho)}\Psi_{\theta'}(B)}\right\},$$

where $f_B = \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B f(y)dy$ and $\Psi_{\theta'}(B) = (1 + r/\rho(x_0))^{\theta'}$, $B = B(x_0, r)$ and $\theta' = (l_0 + 1)\theta$.

Proof. We adapt the same argument of pages 145-146 in [20]. We first assume $\|f\|_{BMO(\rho)}$ $\Psi_{\theta'}(B) = 1$. We apply Chebysheff's inequality and Proposition 4.1, we obtain

$$|\{x \in B : |f(x) - f_B| > \lambda\}| \le (cC_0^\theta s)^s\lambda^{-s}|B|$$

for $0 < \lambda < \infty,\ 1 \le s < \infty$.

If $\lambda \ge 2cC_0^\theta$, we take $s = \lambda/(2cC_0^\theta) \ge 1$. Then

$$|\{x \in B : |f(x) - f_B| > \lambda\}| \le (1/2)^s|B| = e^{-c_1\lambda}|B|$$

where $c_1 = (2cC_0^\theta)^{-1}\ln 2$. However, if $\lambda \le 2cC_0^\theta$, then $e^{-c_1\lambda} \ge e^{-c_1 2(cC_0^\theta)} = 1/2$, and

$$|\{x \in B : |f(x) - f_B| > \lambda\}| \le 2e^{-c_1\lambda}|B|$$

in that range of λ. Altogether then, if we drop the normalization on f by replacing f by $f/(\|f\|_{BMO(\rho)}\Psi_{\theta'}(B))$, we can obtain the conclusion by taking $c_1 = 2$ and $c_2 = (2cC_0^\theta)^{-1}\ln 2$. □

As a consequence of Proposition 4.2, we can then obtain the following result, which is equivalent to Proposition 4.1.

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose that f is in $BMO(\rho)$. There exist positive constants γ and C such that*

$$\sup_B \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \exp\left\{\frac{\gamma}{\|f\|_{BMO(\rho)}\Psi_{\theta'}(B)}|f(x) - f_B|\right\} dx \le C.$$

Proof. We choose $\gamma = c_2/2$, where c_2 is a constant in Proposition 4.2. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_B \exp\left\{\frac{\gamma}{\|f\|_{BMO(\rho)}\Psi_{\theta'}(B)}|f(x)-f_B|\right\} dx\\
&= \int_0^\infty |\{x \in B : \exp\left\{\frac{\gamma}{\|f\|_{BMO(\rho)}\Psi_{\theta'}(B)}|f(x)-f_B|\right\} > t\}|\, dt\\
&\le |B| + \int_1^\infty |\left\{x \in B : |f(x)-f_B| > \frac{\log t \|f\|_{BMO(\rho)}\Psi_{\theta'}(B)}{\gamma}\right\}|\, dt\\
&\le |B| + c_1|B|\int_1^\infty \exp\left\{-\frac{c_2 \log t}{\gamma}\right\} dt\\
&\le |B| + C|B|\int_1^\infty t^{-2}\, dt\\
&\le C|B|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof is complete. □

We first consider commutators of fractional integrals associated with Schödinger operators.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $b \in BMO(\rho)$, $0 < \beta < n, 1 < p < n/\beta$ and $1/q = 1/p - \beta/n$. If $\omega \in A^{\rho}_{(p,q)}$, then there exists a constant C such that*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |[I_\beta, b]f(x)|^q \omega(x)^q dx\right)^{1/q} \le C\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \omega(x)^p dx\right)^{1/p}.$$

The weighted weak-type endpoint estimate for the commutator is the following.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $b \in BMO(\rho)$, $0 < \beta < n, 1 < p < n/\beta$ and $1/q = 1/p - \beta/n$. Let $B(t) = t\log(e+t)$, $\Lambda(t) = [t\log(e+t^{\beta/n})]^{n/(n-\beta)}$ and $\Theta(t) = t^{1-\beta/n}\log(e+t^{-\beta/n})$. If $\omega \in A^{\rho}_1$, then for any $\lambda > 0$*

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |[I_\beta, b]f(x)| > \lambda\}) \le C\Lambda\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B\left(\frac{\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right)\Theta(\omega(x))dx\right).$$

To prove Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, we need a few of Lemmas which can be of independent interest.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $0 \le \beta < n$, $0 < \eta < \infty$ and $M_{V,\eta/2}f$ be locally integral. Then there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 independent of f and x such that*

$$C_1 M_{\beta,V,\eta} M_{V,\eta+1} f(x) \le M_{L\log L,\beta,V,\eta+1} f(x) \le C_2 M_{\beta,V,\eta/2} M_{V,\eta/2} f(x).$$

Proof. We first prove $M_{L\log L,\beta,V,\eta+1}f(x) \le CM_{\beta,V,\eta/2}M_{V,\eta/2}f(x)$. It suffices to show that for any cube $Q \ni x$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\Psi(Q)^{-\eta-1}(\Psi(Q)|Q|)^{\beta/n}\|f\|_{L\log L,Q} \le C\Psi(Q)^{-\eta/2}(\Psi(Q)|Q|)^{\beta/n-1}\int_Q M_{V,\eta/2}f(y)dy.$$

That is,

$$\|f\|_{L\log L,Q} \leq C\Psi(Q)^{\eta/2}|Q|^{-1}\int_Q M_{V,\eta/2}f(y)dy. \tag{4.3}$$

In fact, by homogeneity we can take f with $\|f\|_{L\log L,Q} = 1$ which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q |f(y)|(1+\log^+(|f(y)|))dy \\
&\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q |f(y)|\int_1^{|f(y)|+e}\frac{dt}{t}dy \\
&\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_1^{\epsilon}\int_Q |f(y)|dy\frac{dt}{t} + \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_e^{\infty}\int_{\{x\in Q:|f(x)|>t-e\}}|f\chi_Q(y)|dy\frac{dt}{t} \\
&\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q M(f\chi_Q)(x)dx + \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_0^{\infty}\int_{\{x\in Q:|f(x)|>t\}}|f\chi_Q(y)|dy\frac{dt}{t} \\
&\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q M(f\chi_Q)(x)dx + \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_0^{\infty}|\{x\in Q:\ M(f\chi_Q)(x)>t\}|dt \\
&\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q M(f\chi_Q)(x)dx \\
&\leq C\frac{\Psi(Q)^{\eta/2}}{|Q|}\int_Q M_{V,\eta/2}(f)(x)dx,
\end{aligned}$$

since $M(f\chi_Q)(x) \leq C\Psi(Q)^{\eta/2}M_{V,\eta/2}(f)(x)$ for all $x \in Q$. Thus, (4.3) is proved.

Now let us turn to prove

$$M_{\beta,V,\eta}M_{V,\eta+1}f(x) \leq CM_{L\log L,\beta,V,\eta+1}f(x). \tag{4.4}$$

We first claim that

$$M_{\beta,V,\eta}f(x) \leq CM_{L\log L,\beta,V,\eta+1}f(x). \tag{4.5}$$

Indeed, it is sufficient to show that for any cube $Q \ni x$ such that

$$\Psi(Q)^{-\eta}(\Psi(Q)|Q|)^{\beta/n-1}\int_Q |f(y)|dy \leq \Psi(Q)^{-\eta-1}(\Psi(Q)|Q|)^{\beta/n}\|f\|_{L\log L,Q}.$$

That is, $|Q|^{-1}\int_Q |f(y)|dy \leq \|f\|_{L\log L,Q}$. This is clear from the definition of the mean Luxemburg norm.

Now we prove (4.4). For any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any fixed cube $Q \ni x$, write $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f\chi_{3Q}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(Q)^{-\eta}(\Psi(Q)|Q|)^{\beta/n-1}\int_Q |M_{V,\eta+1}f(y)|dy &\leq \Psi(Q)^{-\eta}(\Psi(Q)|Q|)^{\beta/n-1}\int_Q |M_{V,\eta+1}f_1(y)|dy \\
&\quad +\Psi(Q)^{-\eta}(\Psi(Q)|Q|)^{\beta/n-1}\int_Q |M_{V,\eta+1}f_2(y)|dy \\
&:= I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

For I, we know that for all g with supp $g \subset Q$ (see [15])

$$\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q Mg(y)dy \le C\|g\|_{L\log L,Q}.$$

From this and note that $M_{V,\eta+1}f(x) \le Mf(x)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}I &\le C\Psi(3Q)^{-\eta}(\Psi(3Q)|3Q|)^{\beta/n-1}\int_{3Q}|Mf_1(y)|dy\\ &\le C\Psi(3Q)^{-\eta-1}(\Psi(Q)|3Q|)^{\beta/n}\|f\|_{L\log L,3Q}\\ &\le CM_{L\log L,\beta,V,\eta+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Next let us estimate II. It is easy to see that for all $y,\ z \in Q$, we have

$$(\Psi(Q)|Q|)^{\beta/n}M_{V,\eta+1}f_2(y) \le CM_{\beta,V,\eta}f(z). \tag{4.6}$$

In fact, for any cube $Q' \ni y$ and $Q'\bigcap(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q) \ne \emptyset$, noticing that $z \in Q \subset 3Q'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(\Psi(Q)|Q|)^{\beta/n}}{\Psi(Q')^{\eta+1}|Q'|}\int_{Q'}|f_2(y)|dy &\le C\frac{(\Psi(3Q')|3Q'|)^{\beta/n}}{\Psi(3Q')^{\eta+1}|3Q'|}\int_{3Q'}|f_2(y)|dy\\ &\le CM_{\beta,V,\eta}f(z).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (4.6) holds.

Using (4.5) and (4.6) and note that $\Psi(Q) \ge 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}II &\le C(\Psi(Q)|Q|)^{\beta/n-1}\int_Q|M_{V,\eta+1}f_2(y)|dy\\ &\le C|Q|^{-1}\int_Q\inf_{z\in Q}M_{\beta,V,\eta}f(z)dz\\ &\le CM_{\beta,V,\eta}f(x) \le CM_{L\log L,\beta,V,\eta+1}f(x).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (4.4) is proved. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $0 \le \beta < n$, $0 < \eta < \infty$ and $M_{V,\eta}f, M_{\beta,V,\eta}f$ be locally integrable. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of f and x such that*

$$M_{V,\eta+1}M_{\beta,V,\eta}f(x) \le CM_{\beta,V,\eta}M_{V,\eta}f(x).$$

Proof. For any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any fixed cube $Q \ni x$, write $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f\chi_{3Q}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(Q)^{-\eta-1}|Q|^{-1}\int_Q|M_{\beta,V,\eta}f(y)|dy &\le \Psi(Q)^{-\eta-1}|Q|^{-1}\int_Q|M_{\beta,V,\eta}f_1(y)|dy\\ &\quad+\Psi(Q)^{-\eta-1}|Q|^{-1}\int_Q|M_{\beta,V,\eta}f_2(y)|dy\\ &:= I + II.\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the estimates of II in Lemma 4.1, we have

$$II \leq CM_{\beta,V,\eta}f(x) \leq CM_{\beta,V,\eta}M_{V,\eta}f(x).$$

From page 881 in [6], we know that for any g with supp $g \subset Q$,

$$\int_Q M_\beta f(y)dy \leq C|Q|^{\beta/n} \int_Q g(y)dy, \tag{4.7}$$

where $M_\beta f(x) = \sup_{x\in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|^{1-\beta/n}} \int_Q |f(y)dy$. Obviously, $M_{\beta,V,\eta}f(x) \leq M_\beta f(x)$.

Hence, by (4.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq C\Psi(3Q)^{-\eta-1}|3Q|^{-1} \int_{3Q} |M_{\beta,V,\eta}f_1(y)|dy \\ &\leq C\Psi(3Q)^{-\eta-1}|3Q|^{-1} \int_{3Q} |M_\beta f_1(y)|dy \\ &\leq C\Psi(3Q)^{-\eta-1}|3Q|^{\beta/n-1} \int_{3Q} |f_1(y)|dy \\ &\leq C\Psi(3Q)^{-\eta-1}|3Q|^{\beta/n-1} \int_{3Q} |M_{V,\eta}f(y)|dy \\ &\leq CM_{\beta,V,\eta}M_{V,\eta}f(x). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Definition 4.1. *Given an increasing function φ, define the function h_φ by*

$$h_\varphi(s) = \sup_{t>0} \frac{\varphi(st)}{\varphi(t)}, \quad 0 \leq s < \infty.$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $0 < \beta < n$, $1 \leq \eta < \infty$, $\omega \in A_1^\rho$ and $B(t) = t\log(e+t)$,. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$*

$$\Phi\left(\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ M_{B,\beta,V,\eta}f(x) > t\})\right) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{t}\right) h_\Phi(\omega(x))dx,$$

where $\Phi(s) = s/h_B(s^{\beta/n})$ if $s > 0$, otherwise is zero.

From page 4 in [4], we know that

$$\Phi(t) \approx \frac{t^{\frac{\beta}{n}-1}}{\log(e+t^{\frac{\beta}{n}})}.$$

The the function Φ is invertible with

$$\Phi^{-1}(t) \approx [t\log(e+t^{\frac{\beta}{n}}])^{n/(n-\beta)},$$

and

$$h_\Phi(t) \leq C\Theta(t) = Ct^{1-\frac{\beta}{n}}\log(e+t^{-\frac{\beta}{n}}).$$

Proof. Define $E_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ M_{B,\beta,V,\eta}f(x) > t\}$. For each $x \in E_t$, there exists a cube $Q_x \ni x$ such that

$$\Psi(Q_x)^{-\eta}(\Psi(Q_x)|Q_x|)^{\beta/n}\|f\|_{B,Q_x} > t.$$

The collection $\{Q_x\}_{x\in E_t}$ covers E_t. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.14 in [3], we can show that there exists a constant $L > 0$ and a collection of disjoint dyadic cubes $\{P_j\}$ such that $E_t \subset \bigcup 3P_j$, and such that

$$\Psi(P_j)^{-\eta}(\Psi(P_j)|P_j|)^{\beta/n}\|f\|_{B,P_j} > Lt.$$

By the properties of the Luxemburg norm on Orlicz spaces and by definition 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\le \frac{1}{|P_j|}\int_{P_j} B\left(\frac{(\Psi(P_j)|P_j|)^{\beta/n}|f(x)|}{Lt\Psi(P_j)^\eta}\right)dx \\ &\le \frac{Ch_B((\Psi(3P_j)|3P_j|)^{\beta/n})}{|3P_j|}\int_{P_j} B\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{t\Psi(3P_j)^\eta}\right)dx \\ &\le \frac{Ch_B((\Psi(3P_j)|3P_j|)^{\beta/n})}{\Psi(3P_j)^\eta|3P_j|}\int_{P_j} B\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{t}\right)dx \\ &\le \frac{Ch_B((\Psi(3P_j)|3P_j|)^{\beta/n})}{\Psi(3P_j)|3P_j|}\int_{P_j} B\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{t}\right)dx \\ &= \frac{C}{\Phi(\Psi(3P_j)|3P_j|)}\int_{P_j} B\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{t}\right)dx. \end{aligned}$$

The growth conditions assumed on B imply that

$$\Phi(\omega(E_t)) \le \Phi(\sum_j(\omega(3P_j)) \le \sum_j \Phi(\omega(3P_j)).$$

Hence, if we combine the two inequalities above and apply Definition 4.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\omega(E_t)) &\le C\sum_j \frac{\Phi(\omega(3P_j))}{\Phi(\Psi(3P_j)|3P_j|)}\int_{P_j} B\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{t}\right)dx \\ &\le C\sum_j h_\Phi\left(\frac{\omega(3P_j)}{\Psi(3P_j)|3P_j|}\right)\int_{P_j} B\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{t}\right)dx \\ &\le C\sum_j \int_{P_j} B\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{t}\right)h_\Phi(\omega(x))dx \\ &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{t}\right)h_\Phi(\omega(x))dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Lemma 4.3 is proved. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $b \in BMO(\rho)$, $0 < \beta < n$ and $(l_0 + 1) \le \eta < \infty$. Let $0 < 2\delta < \epsilon < 1$, then*

$$M^\sharp_{\delta,\eta}([b, I_\beta]f)(x) \le C\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}(M^\triangle_{\epsilon,\eta}(I_\beta f)(x) + M_{L\log L,\beta,V,\eta}(f)(x)), \text{ a.e } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (4.8)$$

holds for any $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Observe that for any constant λ

$$[b, I_\beta]f(x) = (b(x) - \lambda)I_\beta f(x) - I_\beta((b - \lambda)f)(x).$$

As above we fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $x \in Q = Q(x_0, r)$(dyadic cube). Decompose $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f\chi_{\bar{B}}$, where $\bar{Q} = Q(x, 8\sqrt{n}r)$. Let λ be a constant and C_Q a constant to be fixed along the proof.

To prove (4.8), we consider two cases about r, that is, $r < \rho(x_0)$ and $r \geq \rho(x_0)$.

Case 1. when $r < \rho(x_0)$. Since $0 < \delta < 1$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \big|\, |[b, I_\beta]f(y)|^\delta - |C_Q|^\delta \big|\, dy\right)^{1/\delta} \\
&\quad \leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |[b, I_\beta]f(y) - C_Q|^\delta\, dy\right)^{1/\delta} \\
&\quad \leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |(b(y) - \lambda)I_\beta f(y) - I_\beta((b - \lambda)f)(y) - C_Q|^\delta\, dy\right)^{1/\delta} \\
&\quad \leq C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |(b(y) - \lambda)I_\beta f(y)|^\delta\, dy\right)^{1/\delta} \\
&\qquad + C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta((b - \lambda)f_1)(y)|^\delta\, dy\right)^{1/\delta} \\
&\qquad + C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta((b - \lambda)f_2)(y) - C_Q|^\delta\, dy\right)^{1/\delta} \\
&\quad := I + II + III.
\end{aligned}$$

To deal with I, we first fix $\lambda = b_{\bar{Q}}$, the average of b on $\bar{Q}$. Then for any $1 < \gamma < \epsilon/\delta$, note that $m_V(x) \sim m_V(x_0)$ for any $x \in \bar{Q}$ and $\Psi(\bar{Q}) \sim 1$, by Proposition 4.1, we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\leq C\left(\frac{1}{|\bar{Q}|}\int_{\bar{Q}} |b(y) - b_{\bar{Q}}|^{\delta\gamma'}\, dy\right)^{\gamma'/\delta}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta f(y)|^{\delta\gamma}\, dy\right)^{\delta\gamma} \\
&\leq C\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)} M^{\triangle}_{\epsilon,\eta}(I_\beta f)(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where $1/\gamma' + 1/\gamma = 1$.

For II, we recall that I_β is weak type $(1, n/(n-\beta))$. Note that $m_V(x) \sim m_V(x_0)$ for any $x \in \bar{Q}$ and $\Psi(\bar{Q}) \sim 1$, by Kolmogorov's inequality and Proposition 4.3, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
II &\leq \frac{C}{|Q|^{1-\beta/n}}\|I_\beta(b - b_{\bar{Q}})f_1\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\beta},\infty}} \\
&\leq \frac{C}{|\bar{Q}|^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{\bar{Q}} |(b - b_{\bar{Q}})f(y)|\, dy \\
&\leq CM_{L\log L,\beta,V,\eta}f(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Finally, for III we first fix the value of C_Q by taking $C_Q = (I_\beta((b - b_{\bar{Q}})f_2))_Q$, the average of $I_\beta((b - b_{\bar{Q}})f_2)$ on B. Let $b_{Q_k} = b_{Q(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)}$. Then, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
II &\le \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta((b - b_{\bar{Q}})f_2)(y) - (I_\beta((b - b_{\bar{Q}})f_2))_Q|\,dy\\
&\le \frac{C}{|Q|^2}\int_Q\int_Q\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\bar{Q}} |k_\beta(y,\omega) - k_\beta(z,\omega)||(b(\omega) - b_{\bar{Q}})f(\omega)|d\omega dz dy\\
&\le \frac{C}{|Q|^2}\int_Q\int_Q\int_{|x_0-\omega|>2r} |k_\beta(y,\omega) - k_\beta(z,\omega)||(b(\omega) - b_{\bar{Q}})f(\omega)|d\omega dz dy\\
&\le \frac{C}{|Q|^2}\int_Q\int_Q\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\int_{2^k r\le|x_0-\omega|<2^{k+1}r} |k_\beta(y,\omega) - k_\beta(z,\omega)||(b(\omega) - b_{\bar{Q}})f(\omega)|d\omega dz dy\\
&\le C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{2^{-k\delta_0}}{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^l|Q_k|^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{Q_k}|b(\omega) - b_{\bar{Q}}|f(\omega)|d\omega\\
&\le C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{2^{-k\delta_0}(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^{(l_0+1)\theta(\eta+2)}}{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^l}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\frac{1}{(1+2^k r m_V(Q_k))^{(\eta+1)\alpha}|Q_k|^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{Q_k}|b(\omega) - b_{Q_k}||f(\omega)|d\omega\\
&\quad+C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{2^{-\theta k}(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^{(l_0+2)\theta(\eta+1)}}{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^l}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\frac{1}{(1+2^k r m_V(Q_k))^{\theta(\eta+2)}|Q_k|^{1-\beta/n}}|b(\bar{Q}) - b_{Q_k}|\int_{Q_k}|f(\omega)|d\omega\\
&\le C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{2^{-k\delta_0}(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^{(l_0+1)\theta(\eta+2)}}{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^l}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\frac{1}{(1+2^k r m_V(Q_k))^{\theta(\eta+1)}|Q_k|^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{Q_k}|b(\omega) - b_{Q_k}||f(\omega)|d\omega\\
&\quad+C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{2^{-\delta_0 k}(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^{(l_0+1)\theta(\eta+2)}}{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^l}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\frac{1}{(1+2^k r m_V(Q_k))^{\theta(\eta+1)}(\Psi(Q_k)|Q_k|)^{1-\beta/n}}|b_{\bar{Q}} - b_{Q_k}|\int_{Q_k}|f(\omega)|d\omega\\
&\le C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}2^{-\delta_0 k}\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}M_{L\log L,\beta,V,\eta}(f)(x) + C_l\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}M_{\beta,V,\eta}(f)(x)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}k2^{-k\delta_0}\\
&\le C_l\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}M_{L\log L,\beta,V,\eta}(f)(x),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.11}
$$

where $l = (l_0+1)(\eta+2)\theta$ and in last inequality we have used the fact that

$$|b_{\bar{Q}} - b_{Q_k}| \le Ck\Psi(Q_k)\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)},$$

and

$$M_{\beta,V,\eta}(f)(x) \le M_{L\log L,\beta,V,\eta}(f)(x).$$

Case 2. When $r \geq \rho(x_0)$. Since $0 < 2\delta < \epsilon < 1$, so $a = \eta/\delta$ and $\epsilon/\delta > 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\Psi(Q)^a}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |[b, I_\beta]f(y)|^\delta\, dy\right)^{1/\delta} \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{\Psi(Q)^a}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |(b(y)-\lambda)I_\beta f(y) - I_\beta((b-\lambda)f)(y)|^\delta\, dy\right)^{1/\delta} \\
&\qquad \leq C\frac{1}{\Psi(Q)^a}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |(b(y)-\lambda)I_\beta f(y)|^\delta\, dy\right)^{1/\delta} \\
&\qquad\quad + C\frac{1}{\Psi(Q)^a}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta((b-\lambda)f_1)(y)|^\delta\, dy\right)^{1/\delta} \\
&\qquad\quad + C\frac{1}{\Psi(Q)^a}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta((b-\lambda)f_2)(y)|^\delta\, dy\right)^{1/\delta} \\
&\qquad := I + II + III.
\end{aligned}$$

To deal with I, we first fix $\lambda = b_{\bar{Q}}$, the average of b on $\bar{Q}$. Then for any $2 \leq \gamma < \epsilon/\delta$, note that $l_0 + 1 \leq \eta$, by Proposition 4.1, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\leq C\frac{1}{\Psi_{\theta'}(Q)}\left(\frac{1}{|\bar{Q}|}\int_{\bar{Q}} |b(y) - b_{\bar{Q}}|^{\delta\gamma'}\, dy\right)^{1/(r'\delta)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Psi_{\theta'}(Q)}{\Psi(Q)^{a-\eta/(2\delta)}}\left(\frac{1}{\Psi(Q)^\eta |Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta f(y)|^{\delta\gamma}\, dy\right)^{1/(\delta\gamma)} \qquad (4.12) \\
&\leq C\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)} M^{\triangle}_{\epsilon,\eta}(I_\beta f)(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where $1/\gamma' + 1/\gamma = 1$.

For II, we recall that I_β is weak type $(1, n/(n-\beta))$. By Kolmogorov's inequality and Proposition 4.3, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
II &\leq \frac{C}{\Psi(Q)^a}\frac{1}{|Q|^{1-\beta/n}}\|I_\beta(b - b_{\bar{Q}})f_1\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\beta},\infty}} \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\Psi(Q)^a}\frac{1}{|\bar{Q}|^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{\bar{Q}} |(b - b_{\bar{Q}})f(y)|\, dy \qquad (4.13) \\
&\leq C M_{L\log L,\beta,V,\eta} f(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for III, let $b_{Q_k} = b_{Q(x_0, 2^{k+1}r)}$. Then, by (1.2) and Lemma 2.1, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
III &\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q |I_\beta((b - b_{\bar{Q}})f_2)(y)|\, dy \\
&\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\bar{Q}} |k_\beta(y,\omega)||(b(\omega) - b_{\bar{Q}})f(\omega)| d\omega dy \\
&\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q \int_{|x_0-\omega|>2r} |k_\beta(y,\omega)||(b(\omega) - b_{\bar{Q}})f(\omega)| d\omega dy \\
&\leq \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\int_{2^k r \leq |x_0-\omega| < 2^{k+1}r} |k_\beta(y,\omega)||(b(\omega) - b_{\bar{Q}})f(\omega)| d\omega dy
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^l|Q_k|^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{Q_k}|b(\omega)-b_{\bar{Q}}|f(\omega)|d\omega\\
&\le C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^{(l_0+1)(\eta+2)\theta}}{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^l}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\frac{1}{(1+2^k r m_V(Q_k))^{(\eta+2)\theta}|Q_k|^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{Q_k}|b(\omega)-b_{Q_k}||f(\omega)|d\omega\\
&+C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^{(l_0+1)(\eta+2)\theta}}{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^l}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\frac{1}{(1+2^k r m_V(Q_k))^{(\eta+2)\theta}|Q_k|^{1-\beta/n}}|b_{\bar{Q}}-b_{Q_k}|\int_{Q_k}|f(\omega)|d\omega\\
&\le C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{2^{-\delta_0 k}(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^{(l_0+1)(\eta+2)\theta}}{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^l}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\frac{1}{(1+2^k r m_V(Q_k))^{(\eta+2)\theta}|Q_k|^{1-\beta/n}}\int_{Q_k}|b(\omega)-b_{Q_k}||f(\omega)|d\omega\\
&+C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^{(l_0+1)(\eta+2)\theta}}{(1+2^k r m_V(x_0))^l}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\frac{1}{(1+2^k r m_V(Q_k))^{(\eta+1)\theta}(\Psi(Q_k)|Q_k|)^{1-\beta/n}}|b(\bar{Q})-b_{Q_k}|\int_{Q_k}|f(\omega)|d\omega\\
&\le C_l\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}2^{-k\delta_0}\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}M_{L\log L,\beta,V,\eta}(f)(x)+C_l\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}M_{\beta,V,\eta}(f)(x)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}k2^{-k\delta_0}\\
&\le C_l\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}M_{L\log L,\beta,V,\eta}(f)(x),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.14}
$$

where $l=(l_0+1)(\eta+2)\theta+1$.

From (4.9)–(4.14), we get (4.8). Hence the proof is finished. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $b\in BMO(\rho)$, $0<\beta<n$ and $0<\eta<\infty$. Let $\omega\in A_1^{\rho}$ and $\Lambda(t)=\Phi(\Phi(t))$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that for any smooth function f with compact support*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Lambda(1/t)}\omega(\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\ |[I_\beta,b]f(x)>t\})^{1-\beta/n}\\
&\qquad\le C\Lambda(\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)})\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Lambda(1/t)}\omega(\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\ M_{B,\beta,V,\eta}f(x)>t\})^{1-\beta/n}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Theorems 2.1 and Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.4, adapting the same arguments in pages 884-886 of [6](or pages 21-23 of [5]), we can prove Lemma 4.5. Here we omit the details.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Lemmas 4.1, 4.4 and Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and Corollary

2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|[I_\beta, b]\|_{L^q(\omega^q)} &\le C\|M_{\epsilon,V,\eta}(I_\beta f)\|_{L^q(\omega^q)} + \|M_{L\log L,\beta,V,\eta}(f)\|_{L^q(\omega^q)}\\ &\le C\|I_\beta f\|_{L^q(\omega^q)} + \|M_{\beta,V,\eta/2}M_{V,\eta/2}f\|_{L^q(\omega^q)}\\ &\le C\|f\|_{L^p(\omega^p)},\end{aligned}$$

if taking $\eta = 2(p' + q')(l_0 + 1)$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.2. By homogeneity, we need only to show that

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ |[I_\beta, b]f(x)| > 1\}) \le C\Phi^{-1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(|f(x)|)h_\Phi(\omega(x))dx\right).$$

Indeed, using Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5, adapting the same arguments pages 21-23 of [5], we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ |[I_\beta, b]f(x)| > 1\})\\ &\qquad \le C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Lambda(1/t)}\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ |[I_\beta, b]f(x)| > t\})^{1-\beta/n}\\ &\qquad \le C\Lambda(\|b\|_{BMO_V})\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Lambda(1/t)}\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ M_{B,\beta,V,\eta}f(x) > t\})^{1-\beta/n}\\ &\qquad \le C\Phi^{-1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(|f(x)|)h_\Phi(\omega(x))dx\right),\end{aligned}$$

if taking $\eta \ge l_0 + 1$.

Thus, the proof is complete.

We next consider a class Schrödinger type operators such as $\nabla(-\Delta + V)^{-1}\nabla$, $\nabla(-\Delta + V)^{-1/2}$, $(-\Delta + V)^{-1/2}\nabla$ with $V \in B_n$, $(-\Delta + V)^{i\gamma}$ with $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V \in B_{n/2}$, and $\nabla^2(-\Delta + V)^{-1}$ with V is a nonnegative polynomial.

Theorem 4.3. *Let T be operators above, $b \in BMO(\rho)$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p^\rho$. Then there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that*

$$\|[b, T]f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \le C\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

Proof. In order to prove Theorem 4.3, from Corollary 2.1, we need only to show that for $0 < 2\delta < \epsilon < 1$ and $\eta > l_0 + 1$, such that

$$M^\sharp_{\delta,\eta}([b, T]f)(x) \le C\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}(M_{\epsilon,V,\eta}(Tf)(x) + M_{L\log L,V,\eta}(f)(x)), \text{ a.e } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (4.15)$$

holds for any $f(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

Adapting the same argument of Lemma 4.4, and using (3.1) and (3.2), we can get (4.15). We omit the details here.

The weighted weak-type endpoint estimate for the commutator of T is the following.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $b \in BMO(\rho)$ and $\omega \in A_1^\rho$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\lambda > 0$*

$$\omega(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ |[b,T]f(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \log^+ \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right)\right) \omega(x)dx.$$

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.1 (see also [15]), we omit the details here.

Finally, we consider another class $V \in B_q$ for $n/2 \leq q$ for Riesz transforms associated to Schrödinger operators. Let $T_1 = (-\triangle + V)^{-1}V,\ T_2 = (-\triangle + V)^{-1/2}V^{1/2}$ and $T_3 = (-\triangle + V)^{-1/2}\nabla$.

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose $V \in B_q$ and $q \geq n/2$. Let $b \in BMO(\rho)$. Then*

(i) If $q' \leq p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A^\rho_{p/q'}$,

$$\|[b, T_1]f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)};$$

(ii) If $(2q)' \leq p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A^\rho_{p/(2q)'}$,

$$\|[b, T_2]f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)};$$

(iii) If $p_0' \leq p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A^\rho_{p/p_0'}$, where $1/p_0 = 1/q - 1/n$ and $n/2 \leq q < n$,

$$\|[b, T_3]f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

The proof of Theorem 4.5 is similar that of Theorem 3.2, we omit the details here.

Let $T_1^* = V(-\triangle + V)^{-1}, T_2^* = V^{1/2}(-\triangle + V)^{-1/2}$ and $T_3^* = \nabla(-\triangle + V)^{-1/2}$. By duality we can easily get the following results.

Corollary 4.1. *Suppose $V \in B_q$ and $q \geq n/2$. Let $b \in BMO(\rho)$. Then*

(i) If $1 < p \leq q$ and $\omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in A^\rho_{p'/q'}$,

$$\|[b, T_1^*]f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)};$$

(ii) If $1 < p \leq 2q$ and $\omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in A^\rho_{p'/(2q)'}$,

$$\|[b, T_2^*]f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)};$$

(iii) If $1 < p \leq p_0$ and $\omega^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in A^\rho_{p'/p_0'}$, where $1/p_0 = 1/q - 1/n$ and $n/2 \leq q < n$,

$$\|[b, T_3^*]f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C\|b\|_{BMO(\rho)}\|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

We remark that in fact all results in this section also hold for $BMO_{\theta_1}(\rho)$ and A_p^{ρ,θ_2} if $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$.

References

[1] B. Bongioanni, E. Harboure and O. Salinas, Commutators of Riesz transforms related to Schrödinger operators, J. Fourier Ana Appl. 17(2011), 115-134.

[2] B. Bongioanni, E. Harboure and O. Salinas, Class of weights related to Schrödinger operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 373(2011), 563-579.

[3] D. Cruz-Uribe, New proofs of two-weight norm inequalities for the maximal operator. Georgian Math. J. 7 (2000), no. 1, 33–42.

[4] D. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza, Weighted endpoint estimates for commutators of fractional integrals. Czechoslovak Math. J. 57(2007), 153–160.

[5] D. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza, Endpoint estimates and weighted norm inequalities for commutators of fractional integrals. Publ. Mat. 47 (2003), no. 1, 103–131.

[6] Y. Ding, S. Lu and P. Zhang, Weak type estimates for commutators for fractional integral ioerators, Science in China, Ser. A. 31(2001), 877-888.

[7] J. Dziubański and J. Zienkiewicz, Hardy space H^1 associated to Schrödinger operator with potential satisfying reverse Hölder inequality, Rev. Math. Iber. 15 (1999), 279-296.

[8] J. Dziubański, G. Garrigós, J. Torrea and J. Zienkiewicz, BMO spaces related to Schrödinger operators with potentials satisfying a reverse Hölder inequality, Math. Z. 249(2005), 249 - 356.

[9] Z. Guo, P. Li and L. Peng, L^p boundedness of commutators of Riesz transforms associated to Schrödinger operator, J. Math. Anal and Appl. 341(2008), 421-432.

[10] J. García-Cuerva and J. Rubio de Francia, Weighted norm inequalities and related topics, Amsterdam- New York, North-Holland, 1985.

[11] F. John and L. Nirenberg, On functions of bounded mean oscillation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 4(1961), 415-426.

[12] B. Jawerth, Weighted inequalities for maximal operators: linearization, location and factorization, Amer. J. of Math. 108(1986), 361-414.

[13] B. Muckenhoupt, Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 165(1972), 207-226.

[14] B. Muckenhoup and R. wheeden, Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 192(1974), 261-273.

[15] C. Pérez, Endpoint estimates for commutators of singular integral operators, J. Funct. Anal. 128(1995), 163-185.

[16] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, Theory of Orlicz spaces, Monogr. Textbooks Pure Appl. Math.146, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.

[17] E. Sawyer, A characterization of a two-weight inequality for maximal operators, Studia Math. 75(1982), 1-11.

[18] Z. Shen, L^p estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials, Ann. Inst. Fourier. Grenoble, 45(1995), 513-546.

[19] S. Spanne, Some function spaces defined using the mean oscillation over cubes, Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa, 19(1965), 593-608.

[20] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: Real-variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory integrals. Princeton Univ Press. Princeton, N. J. 1993.

[21] J. Zhong, Harmonic analysis for some Schrödinger type operators, Ph.D. Thesis. Princeton University, 1993.

LMAM, School of Mathematical Sciences
Peking University
Beijing, 100871
P. R. China

E-mail address: tanglin@math.pku.edu.cn